Supplementary Material for the Article:

Gendered factors for heated tobacco product use: Focus group interviews with Korean adults This material supplements, but does not replace, the peer-reviewed paper in *Tobacco Induced Diseases*

Supplementary Table 1. Characteristics of participants from each group in terms of tobacco use patterns

Groups	Current tobacco use patterns					Total	
	Non	CC*	EC*	HTPs	Dual users	Triple	number
	е			(I,G,L)*		users	
G1 (male,	1 †	2	0	3 (I, G, L)	2 (CC+G)	0	8
<35 years							
old)							
G2 (male,	1†	1	0	3 (I, G, L)	1 (CC+EC)	0	6
≥35 years							
old)							
	4.1	4	4	4 (1)	2 (00 :1 (0)	0	
G3	1†	1	1	1 (I)	2 (CC+L/G)	0	6
(female,							
<35 years							
old)							
G4	0	2	0	2 (I)	2 (CC+I)	0	6
(female,							
≥35 years							
old)							

G5 (male,	0	0	0	0	6(1=CC+EC/5	0	6
oo (maio,				· ·	0(1.00.2070	· ·	
<50 years					=CC+HTP(I=3		
old)					, I&L=1,		
					I&G=1))		
G6	0	0	0	0	5(2=CC+EC/3	1(CC+EC	6
(female,					=CC+HTP(1=I	+1)	
<50 years					, 1=G, 1=L))		
old)							
	*00		471.1				

*CC = Combustible cigarette, EC = Electronic cigarette, HTP = Heated

Tobacco Product

*I = IQOS, G=Glo, L=IiI

† G1 non-smoker = quit smoking 1 year ago after IQOS use, G2 non-smoker = quit smoking 9 months ago due to severe neck pain from cervical disc herniation, G3 non-smoker = quit smoking 10 months ago after IQOS use.

Supplementary Table 2. Comparison of advantages and disadvantages of the three types of tobacco products in Group 5 (male)

Types of	Five main factors to compare among male dual or triple users						
tobacco	Smell	Taste	Convenience	Health	Cost		
products				problems	aspects		
СС	Smell worst	best,	Uncomfortable	Tartar,			
		incomparable	with cigarette	sputum			
		but the burnt	ash in a pocket				
		taste is strong					
EC	Very little smell	Taste not good	A little	Frequent	Reasonable		
	enough to	enough,	uncomfortable,	gum	price of		
	cause	overcome it with	but good to	problems	liquid		
	excessive	aromatic liquid	vape for a long		nicotine		
	vaping indoors	like menthol	time, addictive				
	unwittingly		though				
НТР	Smell awful,	Good not	Inconvenient	No tartar	A little		
	uncomfortable	having the burnt	for continuous		expensive		
	(like the smell of	taste, but using	smoking				
	steamed corn,	aromatic stick to					
	etc.)	avoid					
		uncomfortable					
		smell					

Supplementary Table 3. Comparison of advantages and disadvantages of the three types of tobacco products in Group 6 (female)

Types of	Five main factors to compare among female dual or triple users						
tobacco	Smell	Taste	Convenience	Health	Cost aspects		
products				problems			
СС	Smells so	Bad smell	Hard to clean up	Tartar,			
	bad when	but, excellent	after smoking	stomach-			
	smoking	taste	secretly, stressful	ache			
	indoors		from hiding				
EC	Very little	A variety of	Difficult in	Immediate	EC choice		
	smell, then	aromatic	operation,	gum pain,	due to		
	convenient to	liquids	leakage is a	risk of	increase in		
	vape with	available	problem with	excessive	the CC price		
	drinking or		nicotine liquid,	continuous			
	indoors		concern with	use			
			safety of the				
			devices, easy for				
			f continuous use				
			resulting in				
			excessive vaping				

HTP	Weak	Unique	Experience a lot	No tartar	
	enough to	smells make	of device-related		
	smoke	taste bad	problems; easily		
	indoors, but		broken iron core,		
	bothersome		inconvenient for		
	with		cleaning sticky		
	characteristic		residue, many		
	smells like		minor defects,		
	fish, steamed		and very		
	mugwort,		inconvenient		
	puppy hair,		service centre		
	and fart				

 $^{^{\}mbox{\tiny \mathbb{Q}}}$ 2020 Kim K. et al.